

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,046	KIKUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner EDMUND H. LEE	Art Unit 1732

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(3) _____.

(2) FRED HANDREN.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Claims discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:

THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE ATTACHMENT).

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)